Integrated feature scale modeling of plasma processing of porous and solid SiO₂. I. Fluorocarbon etching
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Increases in RC delay times in interconnect wiring for microelectronics as feature sizes decrease have motivated investigations into the use of low-dielectric constant insulators, and in particular, porous silicon-dioxide (PS). Profile evolution and maintenance of critical dimensions during plasma etching of PS are problematic due to the exposure of open pores. To investigate these issues, reaction mechanisms for fluorocarbon plasma etching of SiO₂ in C₄F₈, CHF₃, and C₃F₈ chemistries have been developed and incorporated into the Monte Carlo Feature Profile Model which was modified to address these two-phase systems. The reaction mechanism was validated by comparison to experiments by others for etching of PS and solid SiO₂ (SS). We found that the etch rates for PS are generally higher than those for SS due to the inherently lower mass fraction. Mass corrected etch rates of PS can be larger or smaller than those for SS depending on the degree of pore filling by polymer and the degree of ion activated chemical sputtering. Pore filling is particularly important for PS having open networks with large pores and high porosities. We found little dependence of the taper of high aspect ratio profiles on the average pore radius and porosity. However, the profile changes from tapered to bowed as the interconnectivity of the porous network increases. Scaling laws for profile shapes are otherwise similar for both SS and PS. © 2004 American Vacuum Society. [DOI: 10.1116/1.1764821]

I. INTRODUCTION

The increase in the area density of devices and the reduction of the size of devices in microelectronics have resulted in the potential for increasing the RC delay time in interconnect wiring. Low dielectric constant (low-k) materials are being investigated as the inter-level dielectrics in interconnect wiring to reduce this delay. Low-k dielectrics can be broadly classified as organic and inorganic. Organic materials such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and parylene are etched in oxygen based plasmas (O₂–Ar and O₂–N₂). Inorganic dielectrics typically involve SiO₂ based materials which are etched in fluorocarbon plasmas. Porous SiO₂ (PS) is one such inorganic low-k material. Successful integration of PS films as an inter-level dielectric depends on its electrical, thermo-mechanical, chemical and structural properties. Of interest in this work are their structural properties, which are porosity, average pore radius and pore interconnectivity.

Measurements of PS characteristics using small angle x-ray spectroscopy combined with x-ray reflectivity and ellipsometric porosimetry indicate that the typical porosities used for interlevel dielectrics are 20%–80%. The dielectric constant is generally reduced in proportion to the mass density, which is inversely proportional to the porosity. PS with an interconnected pore structure typically experiences an increase in dielectric constant during processing due to a partial collapse of the pore structure. The connectivity of pores in industrially relevant materials can be large. For example, Wu et al. utilized small angle neutron spectroscopy to determine that 22% of the pores of a 900 nm thick PS thin film (AlliedSignal Nanoglass™ K2.2-A10B) have connectivity paths to the free surface. Gidley et al. measured 100% pore interconnectivity in a methylsilsesquioxane (MSQ) film (k=2.5) using positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy. Pore measurements using these techniques predict typical radii to 2–20 nm.

Standaert et al. have investigated fluorocarbon etching of fluorinated SiO₂, hydrogen silsesquioxane (HSQ) and MSQ films using an inductively coupled plasma system. Comparisons were made to the etching of solid SiO₂ (SS) in CHF₃ and C₃F₈ chemistries. The etch rate of PS was in general higher than that of SS due to the lower mass densities of PS. However in highly polymerizing environments they found that the etch rate of PS was suppressed compared to SS. They also investigated profile evolution of HSQ and MSQ etched in a CHF₃ plasma and found similar scaling laws as for SS. Fluorocarbon etching of both PS and SS proceeds through the formation of an overlying fluorocarbon polymer on the SiO₂. Radicals are the precursors to the polymer layer which regulates the delivery of activation energy and the
transport of neutral and ion fluxes to the underlying materials. Upon delivery of activation energy to the polymer–SiO$_2$ interface the oxygen in SiO$_2$ reacts with the fluorocarbon species in the polymer to release etch products such as COF$_3$, thereby consuming the polymer. This leads to a thinner polymer layer during SiO$_2$ etching compared to Si etching. The thickness of the polymer layer is the primary source of selectivity between different materials such as SiO$_2$, Si$_3$N$_4$, and Si as the etch rate generally scales inversely with the polymer thickness. Polymer is also consumed by energetic ion sputtering.

In this work, predictions for the etching of PS in fluorocarbon plasmas will be discussed using results from a two-phase algorithm incorporated into the Monte Carlo Feature Profile Model (MCFPM). The MCFPM was integrated with the Hybrid Plasma Equipment Model (HPEM), which provides the energy and angular distributions of the neutral and charged species incident on the wafer surface. A surface reaction mechanism first discussed in Ref. 22, was generalized and improved to be applicable to CHF$_3$, C$_2$F$_6$, and C$_4$F$_8$ chemistries. The logic is that a surface reaction mechanism should depend only on the fundamental processes and not on either the magnitude or the sources of the reactants. Therefore, if correct, a reaction mechanism should apply to any fluorocarbon system. To this end, the model was compared to experiments for CHF$_3$, C$_2$F$_6$ and C$_4$F$_8$ chemistries for both PS and SS, and good agreement was obtained. These results form the basis of an investigation of cleaning of PS using oxygen plasmas, and deposition of barrier coatings onto PS discussed in the companion paper, Part II.

We found that the etch rate of SS increases as a function of self-bias after a threshold, and saturates at high biases. Etching of PS follows similar trends as SS with etch rates in general being higher due to the inherent lower mass densities of PS. However, the mass corrected etch rates of PS depend on the filling of pores by polymer, which can either enhance or reduce the etch rates. Pore filling is most significant for interconnected porous networks having large pores and high porosities. Profiles become less tapered with increasing bias and decreasing polymerizing fluxes. The taper of profiles has little dependence on the pore radius and porosity, however, open pore networks tend to produce bowed profiles.

The HPEM, the MCFPM, and the two-phase algorithm for PS will be discussed in Sec. II. The surface reaction mechanism for fluorocarbon etching of SiO$_2$ and Si will be discussed in Sec. III. Validation of the surface reaction mechanism and the two-phase model will be presented in Secs. IV and V. Results from the MCFPM for etching of PS and SS in high aspect ratio trenches will be discussed in Sec. VI followed by concluding remarks in Sec. VII.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE MODELS

A. Reactor scale models

The HPEM used to obtain reactant fluxes to the substrate has been previously described and so will only be summarized here. The HPEM is a two-dimensional simulator which addresses equipment scale plasma chemistry and hydrodynamics, and consists of the Electromagnetics Module, the Electron Energy Transport Module, and the Fluid Kinetics Module. Electromagnetic and magneto-static fields are calculated in the Electromagnetics Module. These fields are then used in the Electron Energy Transport Module to obtain electron impact source functions and transport coefficients. This is achieved by either solving the electron energy equation or by a Monte Carlo simulation. These results are then passed to the Fluid Kinetics Module, in which separate continuity, momentum and energy equations are solved for ions and neutral species. A drift diffusion formulation is used for electrons to enable an implicit solution of the Poisson’s equation for the time varying electrostatic potential. Output from the Fluid Kinetics Module (densities and electrostatic fields) is then transferred to the other modules. This process is iterated until a converged solution is obtained.

The Plasma Chemistry Monte Carlo Module (PCMCM) in the HPEM produces the energy and angular distributions for neutrals and ions striking the wafer surface. The PCMCM launches pseudoparticles representing ions and neutrals based on the electron impact source functions and the time dependent electric fields obtained from the other modules of the HPEM. Using a Monte Carlo simulation, the PCMCM tracks the trajectories of the ions and neutrals while capturing their gas phase collisions and interactions with the surface using the same reaction mechanism as in the HPEM. Statistics are collected on the energy and angle of pseudoparticles as they strike specified locations on surfaces to produce time-averaged energy and angular distributions. The MCFPM then uses these distributions at the wafer to predict etch profiles.

B. Feature scale model

The two-dimensional MCFPM has been previously described and so will be briefly summarized here. The fluxes of reactant species and their energy and angular distributions from the HPEM are inputs to the MCFPM. The MCFPM resolves the surface (mask, photoreists, semiconductors) using a two-dimensional (2D) rectilinear mesh. Each cell in the mesh has a material identity. Pseudoparticles representing the incident plasma species are randomly selected from the energy and angular distributions obtained from the PCMCM and launched towards the surface. A generalized surface reaction mechanism controls the interaction between the gas-phase pseudoparticles and the computational mesh cells which represent the surface. The reaction mechanism is ultimately expressed as a probability array encompassing all possible reactions between the pseudoparticle plasma species and the surface species. When a pseudoparticle strikes a given material cell, a reaction is chosen based on these probability arrays using Monte Carlo techniques. Based on the selected reaction, the identities of the mesh cells change representing reaction products. Material is added representing deposition or removed constituting an etch product. Gas-phase species evolving from these reactions are tracked as new gas-phase pseudoparticles. The
mesh used to resolve our features consisted of square cells having dimensions of 1.5 nm or approximately ~4 atomic spacings.

The specifics of the interaction of energetic particles with surface species are determined by their energy and angular distributions. The source of energetic particles is ions accelerated through the sheath, with energies of up to 100s eV and angular spreads <5°–10° from the vertical. We assumed that ions neutralize upon interaction with the surface and so do not distinguish between energetic ions and energetic neutrals. Energetic particles can either specularly or diffusively reflect from surfaces, with an energy loss which is larger for diffusive scattering and smaller for specular scattering.

Following the work of Barklund and Blom and Schaeppkens et al. our generalized reaction probability for a particle of energy E incident onto a surface at an angle θ from the local vertical of the surface is

\[ p(\theta) = p_0 \left[ \frac{E_n - E_{r\theta}}{E_{en} - E_{r\theta}} \right] f(\theta), \]

where \( E_{r\theta} \) is the threshold energy of the process, \( E_n \) is a reference energy, \( p_0 \) is the probability for normal incidence at \( E_n \), and \( f(\theta) \) is the relative probability at angle of incidence \( \theta \). Based on the work of Schaeppkens et al. \( f(\theta) \) is an empirical function typical of chemically enhanced sputtering with a mild maximum value near \( \theta = 60° \). It should be noted that there are differing results in the literature for the angular dependence of the yield of SiO₂ etching in fluorocarbon plasmas which are likely a result of process conditions. For example, in the work of Schaeppkens et al. there is a maximum in the etch yield of SiO₂ in CHF₃ and C₃F₆ plasmas at 55° compared to 0°. In more recent work by Chae, Vitale, and Sawin the etch yield of SiO₂ in C₂F₆ and C₄F₈ plasmas peaked at normal incidence. In mixtures with O₂, where the polymer is thinner, the yield was less peaked at normal incidence. This effect is particularly pronounced in the etching of Si₃N₄ etching where the angular dependence of the yield in a CHF₃–O₂ chemistry depends on gas pressure and oxygen fraction, an effect attributed to the thickness of the polymer. For low polymerizing conditions, the yield was peaked at 60 degrees commensurate physio-chemical sputtering. For highly polymerizing conditions, the yield was maximum at normal incidence, an effect in part attributed to the smaller delivery of activation energy through the polymer to the polymer-substrate interface.

In our model, the etch probability is directly determined by the delivery of activation energy to the polymer-substrate interface, and so the less normal peaked angular dependence seems appropriate. For example, in our surface site balance model the energy of ions is degraded based on the thickness of the overlying polymer. The issue of the angular dependence of the etch yield as a function of polymer thickness is particularly important in a system where the polymer thickness has a wide variation, as in polymer filling of pores. Future improvements to the model will address these points in more detail.

The reflection of particles from surfaces was given both specular and diffusive character. To account for surface roughness on spatial scales not resolved by our model, we specified that a fraction \( f_d = 0.25 \) was diffusively scattered. The energy of specularly reflected particle was scaled such that forward scattered particles retain the majority of their energy. The specularly reflected particle energy for incident energy \( E_r \) is

\[ E_s(\theta) = E_r \left( \frac{E_{r\theta} - E_{c\theta}}{E_{e\theta} - E_{c\theta}} \right) \left( \frac{\theta - \theta_e}{90° - \theta_e} \right). \]

for \( \theta > \theta_e < E_r < E_{ts} \). Particles having \( \theta < \theta_e \) or \( E_r < E_{ts} \) are said to diffusively scatter. Particles having \( E_r > E_{ts} \) are said to retain all of their energy subject to the angular correction. We used \( E_{ts} = 100 \) eV, \( E_c = 0 \) eV, and \( \theta_e = 60° \). The final reflected energy of the particle is a weighted sum of the specularly reflected energy and diffusively reflected energy.

The construction of the probability arrays for interaction of gas phase with surface cells is problematic due to the energy dependence of the reaction probability and the requirement that probabilities add to unity for the interaction of any gas-phase species with the surface. This process is facilitated by use of a null process for all combinations of incident gas-phase species and surface species. The null process is reflection without reaction. As the probability of energy dependent processes change, the null portion of the probability array is rescaled to ensure that the sum of probabilities is unity. Should an etch yield exceed unity, the null reaction is eliminated and the array is rescaled.

PS is modeled as being stoichiometric SiO₂ with vacuum pores. In this two-dimensional model, the pores can at best be represented as cylinders. The pore radii and locations are randomly chosen and distributed in the numerical mesh used by the MCPFM with a Gaussian distribution of radii having probability

\[ p(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{(r-r_0)^2}{2\Delta r^2}\right). \]

where \( r \) is the radius of the incorporated pore, \( r_0 \) is the average pore radius, and \( \Delta r \) is the standard deviation. (Pore size in subsequent discussion refers to \( r_0 \).) The numerical mesh we used for the majority of the studies presented here had a cell size 1.5 nm. The lower limit of our resolution is 4 nm diameter pore. We performed sensitivity studies on the size of the mesh and are confident that our conclusions are not being biased by our mesh size.

Algorithms were developed to include the capability of creating both closed and interconnected pore networks. The interconnectivity of the network is the fraction of pores that are connected to another pore. PS having an interconnectivity of 0% consists of isolated pores. In PS having an interconnectivity of 100% every pore is connected to at least one other pore in a low fractal dimension manner. To create an interconnected structure, the following procedure is used. Based on the specified fractional interconnectivity, a pore is randomly chosen to be isolated or connected. Pore locations and radii are then also randomly chosen. As pores are created in the mesh, the numerical cells which are on the inside of
pores are tracked. Pores which overlap result in some fraction of the mesh cells being owned by both pores. For an isolated pore, mesh cells can be owned by only a single pore. For an interconnected pore at most two pores can own any given mesh cell. If a randomly placed pore overlaps a cell which is already owned by the maximum allowed pores, the placement is disallowed. The end result is that the pores are chainlike with a low fractal dimension. Higher fractal dimensions can be obtained by allowing ownership of a cell by additional pores. The pore placement process is repeated until the desired porosity is achieved.

As etching proceeds, computationally solid mesh cells may become isolated from the remainder of the mesh. For nonporous single-phase materials, such cells are dropped or are moved vertically to rejoin a solid surface. This is a more difficult challenge when modeling PS, in that the unopened vacuum pores must be differentiated from the bulk. During pore creation, the maximum pore dimension in each column of the mesh is stored. As the etch evolves, a solid mesh cell is treated as being isolated if its vertical distance to the closest surface is greater than the maximum pore size in that column. At such time, the isolated solid mesh cell is transitioned to a surface.

III. SURFACE REACTION MECHANISMS FOR FLUOROCARBON PLASMA ETCHING OF SiO$_2$ AND Si

A. Basic mechanism

Surface reaction mechanisms, in general, are an intrinsic property of the gas-phase reactant species (incident on the surface) and the surface. As such reaction mechanisms should be independent of the process conditions, such as the plasma source or the gas chemistry. The process conditions may determine the energies and magnitudes of the incident reactant fluxes, however the reaction mechanism should not change. We have attempted to address this issue by developing a generalized reaction mechanism applicable to etching of SiO$_2$ in at least three different fluorocarbon chemistries which initially consists of the feedstock gases of C$_2$F$_6$, CHF$_3$, or C$_4$F$_8$.

The reaction mechanism for etching of SiO$_2$ and Si in fluorocarbon plasmas is schematically shown in Fig. 1 and is listed in Table I. The fluxes to the substrate consist of polymerizing neutral radicals, energetic ionic species and neutral etching radicals. The polymerizing radicals are C$_{x}$F$_{y}$ where there are at least two unpaired electrons. The reaction proceeds by the formation of a steady-state polymer layer on top of the substrate.$^{16,34}$ The initial layer of polymer on SiO$_2$ forms a SiO$_2$C$_{x}$F$_{y}$ complex, which is the precursor to etching. Further polymer growth is problematic as polymerizing radicals are thought to have little probability of sticking to the SiO$_2$C$_{x}$F$_{y}$ complex. In this regard, it has been proposed that low energy ion bombardment promotes the formation of polymer by activating surface sites.$^{34-37}$ For example, Goto et al. investigated polymer growth using a CF$_2$ beam in an Ar microwave plasma with Ar$^+$ energies in the low 10’s eV. They found that polymer deposition was significantly higher in the presence of Ar$^+$ than when only a CF$_2$ beam was used. Similarly, Booth et al. observed a high CF$_2$ sticking rate in low power rf discharges.$^{36}$

To address this activation process, polymer deposition on the SiO$_2$C$_{x}$F$_{y}$ complex is modeled by a two step process. The SiO$_2$C$_{x}$F$_{y}$ complex sites in the presence of low energy ion bombardment are activated to form the intermediate SiO$_2$C$_{x}$F$_{y}^*$. The probability of activation of the SiO$_2$C$_{x}$F$_{y}$ sites for incident energy $E_i$ scales as

$$p(E_i) = p_0 \times \max\left(0, 1 - \frac{E_i}{E_{c}}\right),$$  

where $E_i$ is the maximum energy of the process, and $p_0$ is the probability at zero incident energy. CF$_2$ and C$_{x}$F$_{y}$ radicals have a higher sticking probability to the activated sites and thus forms the first layer of the polymer. Subsequent polymer growth can occur on top of this first layer.

Once the substrate is covered with a monolayer of polymer, the incoming radicals and ions do not see the underlying substrate and hence the growth in polymer must be independent of the underlying substrate. However Schaepkens et al. observed that prior to saturation the polymer layer is thicker on SiO$_2$ than on Si.$^{26}$ This suggested polymer consumption processes at the SiO$_2$–polymer interface. The oxygen atoms in SiO$_2$ can react with the carbon and fluorine in the polymer network to consume polymer and simultaneously release etch products like CO$_x$, COF$_x$. Oehrlein et al. observed that the SiO$_2$ and Si etch rates in general decrease with increasing polymer layer thickness, which scales inversely with

![Fig. 1. Schematic of surface reaction mechanism for fluorocarbon etching of SiO$_2$ and Si. Bulk surface species are represented by pentagons. Intermediate complexes are represented by rectangles. The remaining are gas phase species. $^*$ refers to a hot neutral. Solid arrows represent deposition reactions and dotted arrows represent etching reactions.](image-url)
### Table I. Surface reaction mechanism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ions</td>
<td>$\text{CF}_3^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymerizing radicals</td>
<td>$\text{CF}_x, \text{C}_2\text{F}_y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorocarbon polymer</td>
<td>$\text{P}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogenated polymer</td>
<td>$\text{HP}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated species</td>
<td>$*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas phase species</td>
<td>$\text{g}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot neutrals</td>
<td>$\text{h}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface species</td>
<td>$\text{s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction $^{a,b}$</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation of complex at polymer-SiO$_2$ interface:</th>
<th>$P_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2 + \text{CF}_x \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2 + \text{C}_2\text{F}_x \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{C}_2\text{F}_x$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low energy ion activation to form activated complex site</th>
<th>$P_0$</th>
<th>$E_r$ (eV)</th>
<th>$n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y^+ \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y^+$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{SiF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y^+ \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{SiF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y^+$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y^+ \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y^+$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y^+ \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y^+$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y^+ \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x^+ + \text{CF}_y^+$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion activated dissociation of complex</th>
<th>$P_0$</th>
<th>$E_r$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_x$ (eV)</th>
<th>$n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 + \text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 + \text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 + \text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 + \text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 + \text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactions with polymerizing species</th>
<th>$P_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{C}_2\text{F}_x$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{C}_2\text{F}_x$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{C}_2\text{F}_y$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{C}_2\text{F}_y$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{C}_2\text{F}_y$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{C}_2\text{F}_y$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{C}_2\text{F}_y$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2\text{CF}_x + \text{C}_2\text{F}_y$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Si} + 2\text{C}_2\text{F}_x \rightarrow \text{Si} + \text{C}_2\text{F}_x$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Si} + 2\text{C}_2\text{F}_x \rightarrow \text{Si} + \text{C}_2\text{F}_x$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y \rightarrow \text{SiF}_3 + \text{CF}_y$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorination</td>
<td>$P_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Si} + \text{F}_x \rightarrow \text{SiF}_x$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bias.\textsuperscript{17,18,26} This suggested that the polymer consumption process at the interface increased at higher substrate biases and is enhanced by energetic ions. This process is modeled as a chemically enhanced sputtering process, with an energy dependence following Eq. (1). The SiO\textsubscript{2}-C\textsubscript{F}\textsubscript{3} complex formed at the polymer–wafer interface undergoes chemically enhanced sputtering and dissociates into SiOCF\textsubscript{y} and releases COF\textsubscript{x} as etch products. SiOCF\textsubscript{y} in turn undergoes chemically enhanced sputtering to dissociate to SiF\textsubscript{3} and releases CO\textsubscript{2} as etch products. SiF\textsubscript{3} is finally sputtered to etch away the wafer and in the process release SiF\textsubscript{3} as etch product. SiF\textsubscript{3} is also consumed by F atom etching to release SiF\textsubscript{4}.

Polymer formation and consumption processes occur simultaneously producing a steady-state polymer layer thickness. The important polymer consumption processes are physical sputtering and F atom etching. Similar to chemically enhanced sputtering, physical sputtering of the polymer layer increases with ion energy as in Eq. (1). In the case of F atom etching, F radicals terminate the dangling bonds of carbon in the polymer chains to release volatile etch products such as CF\textsubscript{2}. For fluorocarbon gas mixtures with H in the gas phase, such as CHF\textsubscript{3}, the H radicals can stick to the fluorocarbon polymer network as side chains and functional groups. As a result the polymer composition in such systems can be significantly different from that of pure fluorocarbon chemistries. We account for this possibility in our model by including a hydrogenated polymer species. This species has a reaction hierarchy similar to the fluorocarbon polymer, except for the probability and the threshold energy of the physical sputtering reaction.

Etching of Si is similar to SiO\textsubscript{2} in that it proceeds through the formation of a steady-state polymer layer. The main etch mechanism in Si is fluorination of surface sites by F radicals to progressively form SiF, SiF\textsubscript{2}, and SiF\textsubscript{3}. SiF\textsubscript{3} is consumed by ion sputtering to release SiF\textsubscript{2} and by F atom etching of SiF\textsubscript{3} to release SiF\textsubscript{4}. The fluorocarbon radicals have a significant sticking probability to Si surface, even in the absence of ion activation, and the absence of oxygen in the substrate reduces the rate of consumption polymer. As a result the polymer layers are generally thicker during Si etching thereby reducing the rate of delivery of activation energy to the surface and reducing etch rates.

### B. Other processes

The rate of surface kinetics in part depends on the stress of the film.\textsuperscript{38} This effect is potentially important during plasma etching in the context of notch formation at the interface between, for example, p-Si and SiO\textsubscript{2}. Chang and Sawin showed that tensile stress increased the rate of etching reactions at the interface between p-Si and SiO\textsubscript{2} while compressive stress slowed the rate.\textsuperscript{39} Porous materials in large part have compressive stress at the inner surfaces of pores with there being more stress with smaller pores. Although stress dependent reaction probabilities are not included in this work, systematic variations of mass corrected etch rates with pore size could be influenced by these effects.

### IV. VALIDATION OF THE REACTION MECHANISM FOR SOLID SI AND SiO\textsubscript{2}

The reaction mechanism was calibrated and validated for blanket etching of SiO\textsubscript{2} and Si in C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{6}, C\textsubscript{4}F\textsubscript{8}, and CHF\textsubscript{3} chemistries. Validation of reaction mechanisms for complex gas mixtures such as C\textsubscript{4}F\textsubscript{8}–Ar–O\textsubscript{2} will be discussed elsewhere. The cylindrical inductively coupled plasma (ICP) reactor used for this study, shown in Fig. 2, is patterned after that used by Schaeppens et al.\textsuperscript{26} Inductive power is supplied through a 3-turn coil, 16 cm in diameter. The coil sits on a 2 cm thick quartz window, which is 23 cm in diameter. The wafer is on a substrate, which can be independently biased, 7 cm below the quartz window. For the base case, the gas flow rate is 40 sccm and the pressure is 6 mTorr. The coil source current is at 13.56 MHz and delivers an inductive power of...
1400 W. The rf bias voltage was varied to obtain the required dc self-bias for comparison to experiments. The gas-phase reaction mechanism is discussed in detail in Ref. 40. Power deposition and CF$_2$ density in a C$_2$F$_6$ plasma are shown in Fig. 2.

Power deposition is restricted to the top of the reactor within the skin depth of the electromagnetic field, which is a few cm. The large electron density ($\approx 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) highly dissociates the C$_2$F$_6$ feedstock gases, whose density peaks near the nozzle. As a result of the high degree of dissociation, the major neutral radicals are CF, CF$_2$, and F, and the most abundant ions are CF$_2^+$, F$^+$, and CF$_3^+$. As diffusive transport dominates at low pressures, CF$_2$ and CF$_2^+$ densities are larger near the center of the reactor. Due to large rates of recombination of CF$_2^+$ at the walls the CF$_2$ density increases near the walls of the reactor.

Radical and ion fluxes to the wafer for the base case conditions for a C$_2$F$_6$ plasma are shown in Fig. 3. Lower F atom and ion fluxes may result in thicker passivation layer near the edge of the wafer. In contrast, decreasing polymerizing neutral fluxes may result in thinner polymer near the edges. The net result of the two opposing effects is that the etch rates near the edge of the wafer were slightly lower than at the center. The total ion energy distribution for these conditions is shown in Fig. 3(c). The ions have a fairly narrow spread in energy with a peak near 200 eV. The distribution is representative of the more abundant ions, namely CF$_2^+$, CF$_3^+$, and F$^+$. The angular spread of the distribution is $<10^\circ$.

Etch rates as a function of self-bias for blanket etching of SiO$_2$ and Si in a C$_2$F$_6$ plasma compared to experiments for the base case conditions are shown in Fig. 4(a). The onset...
of the etching of SiO₂ occurs at ~20 V self bias. At low biases and low ion energies, the polymer thickness is large (~several nm) due to ion-activated polymer deposition, which is most efficient at low energies. There is also only nominal ion sputtering of the polymer at low energies.

For reference, self generated dc bias voltages as a function of the applied rf bias amplitude for these conditions are shown in Fig. 4(c). The onset of etching at ~20 V self bias occurs when the polymer is thin enough to allow the delivery of activation energy to the polymer–SiO₂ interface, which initiates the etching reaction releasing volatile etch products like CO₂, COFₓ, and SiFₓ. The etch rate increases with self-bias which corresponds to a monotonic decrease in the polymer thickness due to less ion activation of the polymer precursor and more sputtering. At high self-bias and ion energies, the polymer reduces to sub monolayer thickness leading to insufficient passivation and the etch rate saturates. Similar trends occur for etching of SiO₂ in C₄F₈, also shown in Fig. 4.²⁵ Process conditions in this case are 10 mTorr, 50 sccm, and 1400 W ICP at 13.56 MHz.

The deposition of polymer on Si is rapid due to the lack of polymer–wafer interactions such as those between CFₓ in polymer with O in SiO₂. A thicker polymer layer also lowers the delivery of activation energy to the underlying Si. The net result is that the etch rates of Si are typically lower than those of SiO₂. However, the variation of etch rate with respect to self-bias for Si etching is similar to that of SiO₂ etching.

The thickness of the polymer is critical to determining etch rates and threshold biases. Polymer formation, assisted by low energy ions, and polymer consumption processes (ion-assisted reaction at the solid interface and polymer sputtering), promoted by high-energy ions, simultaneously govern the passivation layer thickness. The threshold bias for etching qualitatively delineates the energy of ions below which ion-assisted polymer formation dominates and above which ion-assisted activation at the interface or sputtering dominates. Since the behavior of etch rates as a function of bias voltage is a sensitive function of threshold energy (Eₜ) for ion-activated reactions at the interface, this value was calibrated across all three chemistries (CHF₃, C₂F₆, and C₄F₈).

An example of the calibration process is shown in Fig. 5, where the etch rate is shown as a function of self-bias for different values of Eₜ for C₂F₆ at 6 mTorr. The average ion energy is roughly Vdc, however, the range of ion energies extend to as large as Vdc + Vrf. As Eₜ decreases a larger proportion of the ion energy distribution extends above the activation energy, thereby reducing the bias voltage at which etching begins. At high biases, where significant fractions of ions have energies above the activation energy, increasing Eₜ had little effect on the etch rate. Based on these results, and similar parameterizations for C₄F₈ and CHF₃, we chose Eₜ = 70 eV.

Polymer growth is initiated at the SiO₂ surface through activation by low energy ions. After the first layer of polymer is formed, the neutral fluorocarbon radicals deposit on the existing polymer layer, thereby increasing the polymer thickness. As the thickness of the polymer critically depends on the rate of incorporation, the sticking probability of fluorocarbon radicals on polymer was also calibrated across the three chemistries. An example of this parameterization is shown in Fig. 5(b). Larger p₀ for fluorocarbon sticking in-
creases the polymer thickness and lowers the etch rates. The significance of this effect increases with substrate bias. Based on these results we chose \( p_0 = 0.15 \).

The removal of polymer is dominated by ion sputtering. While keeping the threshold energy for sputtering at 70 eV, the reference probability was parameterized for calibration purposes across the three chemistries. An example of this parameterization is shown in Fig. 5(c) for \( \text{C}_2\text{F}_6 \) at 6 mTorr. Lowering the sputtering probability leads to a thicker polymer layer, which would require a larger fraction of ions above \( E_t \) for etching to proceed. This pushes the onset of etching to higher bias voltages. Even at high biases the polymer thickness is large enough that the etch rates do not saturate with low sputtering probabilities. Based on these results, we chose \( p_0 = 0.15 \) for polymer sputtering.

Etch rate as a function of self-bias for \( \text{SiO}_2 \) and \( \text{Si} \) in a \( \text{CHF}_3 \) plasma is shown in Fig. 6.\(^{26} \) The process conditions are 6 mTorr, 40 sccm, and 1400 W ICP at 13.56 MHz. Etching of \( \text{SiO}_2 \) and \( \text{Si} \) show dissimilar trends. The onset of etching for \( \text{SiO}_2 \) is \(-40 \) V self-bias and for \( \text{Si} \) is \(-100 \) V. Selected radical and ion fluxes to the center of the wafer for the above process conditions for \( \text{C}_2\text{F}_6 \), \( \text{C}_4\text{F}_8 \), and \( \text{CHF}_3 \) chemistries are listed in Table II. The ratio of F fluxes in \( \text{C}_2\text{F}_6 \) to that in \( \text{CHF}_3 \) is \( \approx 3.5 \), which results in higher etching of the polymer and lowers the etch rates in \( \text{C}_2\text{F}_6 \) as compared to \( \text{CHF}_3 \). In the case of Si etching, since the most prominent etching mechanism is by F atoms, the reduction in the F radical flux has a large effect on the etch rates. As a result there is better selectivity between \( \text{SiO}_2 \) and \( \text{Si} \) for \( \text{CHF}_3 \).

Note that there is a discrepancy in the etch rates between model and experiments at higher biases. This is likely due to the omission of H radicals from the surface reaction mechanism. The H radicals are able to cross-link to the fluorocar-
bon polymer chains and thus change the polymer composition. This different polymer composition was accounted for in the surface reaction mechanism by treating the hydrogenated polymer as a different species. \( p_0 \) and \( E_t \) for physical sputtering of hydrogenated polymer were modified to address this difference. \( E_t \) was increased to 125 eV and the sputtering probability was increased to 0.28. At low biases, a significant proportion of the ions do not possess the activation energy for the sputtering process. Increasing \( E_t \) reduces sputtering and increases the polymer thickness. Increasing the sputtering probability compensates and the net result is that there is no change in the threshold for the onset of etching. However, at high biases, the majority of the ions possess the activation energy for sputtering. Hence increasing \( E_t \) to 125 eV has only nominal effect on the polymer layer thickness. As a result at these biases the increased \( p_0 \) increases the etch rates and bridges the discrepancies noted earlier. The resulting etch rates as a function of self-bias are shown in Fig. 6(b) and are in better agreement with the experiments.

V. ETCHING OF POROUS SILICA (PS)

A. Validation

To validate the reaction mechanism for PS comparisons were made to experiments for two porous materials \( (r_0 = 2 \text{ nm}, 30\% \text{ porosity}; \text{ and } r_0 = 10 \text{ nm and 58\% porosity}) \). The \( \Delta r_0 \) (standard deviation of the radius of pore network) was maintained at 50% for \( r_0 \) for all cases, unless otherwise specified. Etch rates as a function of self-bias for PS and SS in CHF\(_3\) and C\(_4\)F\(_8\) plasmas are shown in Figs. 7 and 8. The process conditions are 10 mTorr, 50 sccm and 1400 W ICP at 13.56 MHz. In general, the etch rate of PS is higher than SS for otherwise the same conditions due to their inherently lower mass densities. This trend is observed for both CHF\(_3\) and C\(_4\)F\(_8\) chemistries. The threshold bias for etching and the dependence of etch rate on self-bias are similar for PS and SS because of the same governing fundamental reaction chemistry.

To isolate the effects of pores on etch rates, a corrected etch rate (\( \text{ER}_c \)) is defined as

\[
\text{ER}_c = \text{ER}(1 - p),
\]

where \( p \) is the porosity and \( \text{ER} \) is the gross etch rate. \( \text{ER}_c \) is effectively the etch rate per unit mass. If the pore morphol-
In highly polymerizing environments, a critical parameter, which determines the kinetics of the etching process is the steady-state polymer layer thickness (L). L can vary from a few to many nm, typically 3–8 nm for the chemistries investigated here. This thickness depends on the magnitude and energies of the incident fluxes, which in turn depends on the gas chemistry and process conditions. In the case of PS, as the pores are exposed during etching, they can be filled with polymer. Hence the ratio L/r, where r is the average pore radius, is a significant consideration. For CHF₃ etching of PS with 2 nm pores, L is typically ~5 to 6 nm and greater than r. As a result, the increase in the local polymer thickness due to pore filling is fractionally small compared to L. Hence pore filling does not lower the ERₖ of PS in comparison to the etch rate of SS. Any enhancements are likely physiochemical effects.

During the etching of blanket SS, the incident ions are, on average, normal to the surface; whereas the optimum angle for chemically enhanced sputtering is ~60°. When small pores are filled, they do not significantly add to the polymer thickness. However, they do present non-normal surfaces to the plasma, which enable more rapid chemically enhanced sputtering. The activation of the polymer–wafer surface is, therefore, likely to be faster, which produces larger etch rates. The difference in the ERₖ of PS and etch rate of SS at the threshold self-bias of ~40 V is marginal (<10%). With an increase in ion energies, this difference increases to as much as 50%. Larger stresses with smaller pores could also contribute to the enhanced etch rate.

In the case of C₄F₈ plasmas, L is ~3 to 4 nm and comparable to r for 2 nm pores and so on a fractional basis there is a larger increase in the local polymer thickness. The pore filling effect in this case is large enough to negate the possible enhancement due to perhaps more optimal chemically enhanced sputtering and results in a slightly lower ERₖ. In this case the difference in the ERₖ of PS and etch rate of solid SiO₂ remains fairly uniform at about 50 nm/min. With 10 nm pores, L is smaller than r for both CHF₃ and C₄F₈ plasmas. As the pores are opened up by the etching process, they are filled with polymer resulting in the local polymer thickness on top of the SiO₂ bounding the pore being significantly larger than L. This results in a lower delivery of activation energy to the polymer–SiO₂ interface and a slower rate of etching. Larger open pores also tend to have polymer–SiO₂ interfaces exposed to the ion flux at shallower angles, which is less optimum for activating etch processes. A significant proportion of flux reaching interfaces at other sites on the surfaces of pores consists of reflected neutrals with energies lower than the incident ion flux. The net result of these effects is that the ERₖ of 10 nm PS is lower (~40%–50%) than the etch rate of SS for both CHF₃ and C₄F₈ chemistries.

### B. Effects of porosity and interconnectivity

Computed ERₖ and etch rate as a function of porosity for 2 and 10 nm PS etched using a CHF₃ chemistry are shown in Fig. 9. The process conditions are the base case with a self-
bias of $-65$ V. As porosity increases with 2 nm pores, there is an increase in the total area of the polymer–SiO$_2$ interface, which results in increased rates of activation at the interface due to chemically enhanced sputtering. As a consequence the ER$_c$ increases until a porosity of $\approx 25\%$. As the porosity increases further, the cumulative effect of an increased polymer thickness over a larger number of local pores increases the pore filling effect. This causes the ER$_c$ to saturate. For the 10 nm PS, enhancement in the ER$_c$ is obtained only at low porosities ($\leq 15\%$). The pore filling effect eventually dominates and causes the ER$_c$ to fall below the etch rate of SS at a porosity of $\approx 35\%$ at which time the gross etch rate is maximum. The presence of polymer in pores at locations which have no direct view angle to the plasma and so have low sputtering rates exacerbates this effect. In general large $r_0$ and larger porosities produce an ER$_c$ which may be smaller than SS.

Etch rates as a function of the PS interconnectivity (10 nm, 60%; and 15 nm, 60%) for the base case conditions and self-bias voltages of $-65$ and $-110$ V in a CHF$_3$ plasma are shown in Fig. 10. Since the total porosity remains the same, ER$_c$ is directly proportional to etch rate. In an interconnected network, adjacent pores are linked to form a chain with a low fractal dimension as opposed to forming a larger pore. As a result when one pore opens up during etching, the entire pore chain is exposed to the plasma. As interconnectivity increases, the average length of the opened pore chains increases. Neutral radicals which are polymer precursors can diffuse deep into the interconnected pores. Since polymer formation is a low energy assisted process, reflected low energy neutrals, which can penetrate into the pore chains, are able to activate polymer formation even though they have no direct line-of sight to the incident flux. However, the reflected neutrals do not deliver sufficient activation energy within the pore chains to either activate etching at the polymer–wafer interface or to sputter the polymer. This leads to polymer build-up, sometimes deep within the network which leads to lower etch rates at high interconnectivity.

VI. PROFILE EVOLUTION OF PS ETCHING IN CHF$_3$ PLASMAS

A frequent challenge during microelectronic fabrication is the need to etch vias or trenches having high aspect ratios and vertical sidewalls. The goals are often difficult to achieve due to the complex surface reactions on the sidewalls and
The width of the trench is 100 nm and the aspect ratio is 5. However, the filling of pores or lining of pores with polymer on the sidewalls does not cause any additional tapering of the profile. The etching of the filled pore occurs sequentially as the profile evolves. The filling of pores slows down the etching in the vertical direction due to the thicker effective polymer layers. However, the filling of pores or lining of pores with polymer on the sidewalls does not cause any additional tapering of the profile. The etch slows or stops on the underlying Si where the polymer layer is thicker. The tapering of the profile is a result of the sidewall passivation, which stops lateral etching, and is more dependent on the process conditions than on the pore morphology. Although the filling of the side pores does not significantly change the taper of the final etched via, it does create a problem in the subsequent removal of the polymer. The presence of pores on the sidewalls leads to a jagged topology of the etched via, which is more pronounced for larger radii. This could present challenges for the later deposition of barrier coatings or seed layers.

A. Effects of porosity, pore radius and interconnectivity

Etch depths and corrected etch depths after equal etch times as a function of porosity for high aspect ratio trenches for different average pore radii ($r_0 = 4, 10, \text{ and } 16 \text{ nm}$) are shown in Fig. 12. In agreement with earlier observations for blanket etching, smaller pore radii enhance the rate of etching. This effect is more pronounced as the porosity increases due to there being a larger surface area for more favorable angles of incidence of the ions. However, as the pore radius increases, pore filling starts to dominate and the ER decreases. For 10 nm PS, the maxima in ER is at $\approx 20\%$ porosity and the ER decreases below the etch rate of SS at $\approx 50\%$ porosity. Larger pores (15 nm) for which filling is even more critical shows little if any enhancement. The maximum in ER occurs at $\approx 10\%$ porosity and the ER is smaller than the etch rate of SS for porosities $> 30\%$.

Etch depths and corrected etch depths for high aspect ratio trenches after equal etch times as a function of pore radius are shown in Fig. 13. The 50% porosity material is etched in a CHF3 plasma. Profiles of the trenches are also shown with Si as the underlying material. The process conditions are the base case with a self-bias of $-65 \text{ V}$. As the pore radii increase, $L/r_0$ decreases. This produces an increase in pore filling, and so the gross etch rate and ER uniformly decrease. The final tapers for the different pore radii are quantitatively similar, supporting the earlier observation that the average pore radius and porosity have little effect on the taper of the profile, at least not in any systematic manner. However, the etched vias with larger pores have a more jagged topology and are likely harder to clean.

Etch depth and taper after equal etch times and profiles as a function of interconnectivity for 10 nm, 60% PS etched in a CHF3 plasma are shown in Fig. 14. The taper is given by the ratio $W_b/W_t$, where $W_b$ is the width of the trench 400 nm above the bottom of the trench and $W_t = 100 \text{ nm}$ is the width at the top of the trench. Although the pore radius and porosity have little effect on the taper of the profile, higher interconnectivity decreases the taper. From a near vertical profile for a closed pore network, the profile gradually bows with increasing interconnectivity. The presence of interconnected paths open up more surface area for etching to proceed, which despite the pore filling leads to some bowing. The downside is that polymer can be found deep within the pore network. In accordance with earlier observations for blanket etching, the etch depth decreases with increase in interconnectivity due to polymer build up.

B. Consequences of process conditions

The critical dimensions of the etched profiles depend on the incident magnitudes and energies of the reactant fluxes.
With porous substrates, the pore filling effect might be expected to be sensitive to changes in the incident fluxes and process conditions. To this end, the ratio of the incident polymerizing flux to the ion flux $\Phi_n/\Phi_{ion}$, was artificially varied to investigate the effect of the composition of the incident flux on etch characteristics. The gross etch rate, $ER_c$ and taper of PS (2 nm, 30%; and 10 nm, 58%) and SS as a function of $\Phi_n/\Phi_{ion}$ for etching in a CHF$_3$ plasma are shown in Fig. 15. ($\Phi_n/\Phi_{ion} = 0.4$ corresponds to the base case with a self-bias of $-110$ V, but otherwise the flux ratios do not correspond to any particular set of process conditions.) Profiles of high aspect ratio trenches of SS and PS (10 nm, 58%) for the same conditions are shown in Fig. 16 with Si as the underlying material. The sidewall passivation generally increases with increasing $\Phi_n/\Phi_{ion}$ due to the larger flux of the...
polymerizing radicals. This results in lower rates of etching and produces a narrower profile. An increase in $\Phi_n/\Phi_{ion}$ also produces thicker polymer layers on the bottom of the trench, which slows the rate of etching. At low values of $\Phi_n/\Phi_{ion}$ there is insufficient passivation on the bottom of the trench, such that the polymer thickness approaches and broaches a monolayer, and the etch rates are lower. As a consequence there is a maximum for the etch rate of SS at $\Phi_n/\Phi_{ion} \approx 2.5$. Etch stop occurs at large values of $\Phi_n/\Phi_{ion}$ with highly pinched tapers.

ER and taper of PS follow similar trends with respect to $\Phi_n/\Phi_{ion}$. As the polymerizing flux increases, pore filling increases and the ER of PS decreases below the etch rate of SS at $\Phi_n/\Phi_{ion} \approx 3$. However, with an increase in the polymerizing flux, pore filling increases disproportionately as...
pores are opened, resulting in excessive polymer build-up on the local sites. This leads to a nonlinear increase in the effective polymer layer thickness. As a result, the gross etch rate of the PS decreases below the etch rate of SS at \( \Phi_n/\Phi_{ion} \approx 3 \). For the same reasons, cessation of etching in porous materials occurs at somewhat smaller values of \( \Phi_n/\Phi_{ion} \).

The gross etch rate, \( \text{ER}_c \) and taper for high aspect ratio trenches as a function of self-bias for base case CHF\(_3\) etching are shown in Fig. 17. An increase in the bias leads to larger average ion energies which reduces the passivation layer thickness on both the sidewalls and the trench bottom. This produces wider features as the profiles transition from tapered to vertical to bowed as the bias is increased. The increase in etch rate with bias saturates at high biases, in accordance with earlier observations for blanket etching.

The scaling with respect to self-bias is qualitatively the same for PS and SS. The saturation of etch rates with bias for PS and SS in high aspect ratio features occurs at lower biases than for blanket etching. This is likely due to the lower average ion and reflected neutral energies at the bottom of the trench due to sidewall scatter. The \( \text{ER}_c \) for PS increases with respect to SS as the self-bias increases. With a larger bias, the energy of both the ions and their reflected neutrals increases. Reductions in pore filling which increases \( \text{ER}_c \) is, to some degree, more sensitive to the reflected neutrals since they are able to reach surfaces with poor view angles to the plasma. As the energies of the reflected neutrals increase, the rate of polymer activation decreases and the rate of polymer sputtering increases. At biases where processes activated by direct ion bombardment have satu-

---

Fig. 16. Profiles of high aspect ratio trenches of SS and PS (\( r_0 = 10 \text{ nm}, 60\% \text{ porosity} \)) etched in a CHF\(_3\) plasma for the base case and self-bias of \( \sim 110 \text{ V} \) as a function of \( \Phi_n/\Phi_{ion} \). Si is the underlying material. (a) Solid SiO\(_2\) and (b) porous SiO\(_2\). The black shading represents polymer. Expanded views of selected outlined portions of the profile are shown in the adjacent boxes. As \( \Phi_n/\Phi_{ion} \) increases, the taper increases for both SS and PS, eventually producing an etch stop with a pinched profile.

Fig. 17. Properties of PS features etched in CHF\(_3\) plasmas for the base case conditions as a function of self-bias. (a) Taper (\( r_0 = 2 \text{ nm}, 30\% \text{ porosity} \) and \( r_0 = 10 \text{ nm}, 58\% \text{ porosity} \)), (b) etch depth (\( r_0 = 2 \text{ nm}, 30\% \text{ porosity} \)), and (c) etch depth (\( r_0 = 10 \text{ nm}, 58\% \text{ porosity} \)). The increase in reflected neutral energies with increasing bias more acutely affect the PS materials.
rated, those activated by reflected species (that is, inside pores) continues to increase.

C. General applicability of trends

The reaction probabilities used in the model, though based on experiments, are derived in part by comparison and calibration to experiments in a limited parameter space. As a result, the general applicability of the model and its results may be questioned. In this regard, perhaps the most general finding of the work is the illumination of the synergy (or lack of synergy) between spatial and energy scales. For example, in etching of features in interconnected PS, there are at least three spatial scales: Feature, pore, and network. The morphology and rate of processing on each scale is determined by the relative contributions of low energy particles (ions and reflected neutrals, and polymerizing radicals) which are precursors for polymerization, and high energy particles which are precursors for polymer removal and etch activation. On the feature scale, high energy particles dominate the kinetics. In all but the most polymerizing environments, the contributions of low energy particles to polymerization can be controlled (or overcome) by increasing bias, and so rates and morphologies are bias driven.

In transitioning from feature scale to pore to network, the disparity in the contributions between low energy and high energy precursors becomes more acute. Even with large biases, high energy particles are unable to penetrate into the small features of the pore networks or access surfaces without view angles to the plasma. As such, low energy particles dominate the kinetics by activating polymerization on these surfaces without there being a regulating high energy counterpart. Highly polymerizing conditions exacerbate these effects by fillings pores and increasing the local polymer thickness, thereby making high energy particles an even more valuable regulating commodity. The repercussions in the disparate contributions of low energy and high energy particles in pores and networks play out during the plasma removal of polymer, as discussed in Part II. Given this sensitivity of pores and networks to polymerization, process integration of porous materials may require means of selectivity which are less dependent on polymerization.

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Surface reaction mechanisms for etching of PS and SS in fluorocarbon gas chemistries have been discussed based on results from a computational investigation using a reactor scale model coupled to a feature scale model. The reaction mechanism was validated by comparison to experiments. Fluorocarbon etching proceeds through the formation of a steady-state polymer layer on SiO₂ which regulates the incident flux and delivery of activation energy. Polymer formation is assisted by low energy ions. The polymer layer is consumed by energetic ion sputtering. It is also consumed by chemically enhanced sputtering at the interface which releases the etch products. The steady state polymer thickness determines etch selectivity between different materials and the etch kinetics for different process conditions.

The model has been applied to the investigation of etching of PS for different values of porosity, average pore radius and interconnectivity. Etching of PS and SS were found to obey similar scaling laws. However the mass corrected etch rates may differ from the etch rates of SS depending on the degree of pore filling by polymers and the propensity of chemically enhanced sputtering. For small pores, where \( L > r_0 \), etch rates are enhanced due to there being a larger proportion of ions striking the surface with near optimal angles and increasing the chemical sputtering. Whereas for \( L < r_0 \) in larger pores, pore filling reduces the etch rates due to there being a larger effective polymer thickness. This is most significant at high porosities, large average pore radius and high interconnectivities.

Etch rates for high aspect ratio trenches showed dependencies similar to blanket etching. The profiles changed from tapered to bowed with increasing bias and decreasing \( \Phi_n/\Phi_{ion} \). The pore filling effect was particularly sensitive to increases in polymerizing fluxes. Scaling laws for the taper of the profile for SS are generally applicable to PS. Pore radius and porosity have little effect on the taper of the profile. However, the profile becomes bowed as the porous network becomes more interconnected.
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